IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.13093 of 2004

Between:

1. N.D.V.Uma Lakshmi, W/o.N.Balakrishna, 46 years,
   R/o.No.301, Moti Apartments, 3-4-859, Barkatpura, Hyderabad.

2. N.Balakrishna, S/o Bhoomaiah, 54 years,
   R/o.No.301, Moti Apartments, 3-4-859, Barkatpura, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Station House Officer, P.S. Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad.

2. Smt.B.Vijaya Lakshmi, W/o Krishna Murari, 58 years,
   R/o.3-3-26, Kacheguda X Roads, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in not taking any action on the complaint made by the petitioners for implementing the rule of law and the orders of the Court of X Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad made in IA No.849/2004 & I.A.No.850/2004 in OS.No.3252 of 2004 and thereby violating the petitioners fundamental and constitutional rights as being illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and consequently direct the 1st respondent to ensure that the 2nd respondent does not violate the orders of the X Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad made in I.A.No.849/2004 & IA.No.850/2004 dated 28.06.2004 by providing police aid to

implement the directions passed in I.A.No.982 of 2004 dated 08.07.2004.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.NIRANJAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner addressed a letter to the first respondent on 22.07.2004 bringing to the notice of the first respondent the orders of the learned Xth Junior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad, made in I.A.Nos.849 and 850 of 2004 in O.S.No.3252 of 2004, whereby the first respondent was directed to implement the orders of the injunction granted by the said Court. Even before any action could be taken, the petitioner moved this Court on 26.07.2004 complaining non-action on the part of the first respondent.

The brief fact of the matter as above would indicate that the Writ Petition is filed in great haste. This Court has no manner of doubt that the first respondent would implement the order of the Civil Court.

The Writ Petition, with the above observation, is dismissed.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

27th July 2004

RRB

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//True Copy//

SECTION OFFICER

Copies To:

1. The Station House Officer, P.S. Sultan Bazar, Hyderabad.
2. Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).
3. Two CD copies.